

## REMARKS

In this Ex Parte Quayle Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings since 2.2 of Figure 4 was not used in the specification. Paragraph 18 has been amended to include reference to 2.2. No new matter has been added since the figure shows the object table 2.2 as intersecting the beam and the similar reference numbers 2 and 2.1 are used to refer to object tables in the respective drawings.

The abstract has been amended to remove claim phraseology "means." The term "said" is not in the abstract. "At least one" was removed in several locations for readability in the abstract.

Claim 1 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner, without narrowing the claim.

Claims 1-7 were indicated as allowable. Other reasons for allowance may exist.

If for any reason the Examiner is unable to allow the application in the next Office Action and believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to resolve any remaining issues, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney or agent.

Respectfully submitted,

/Craig A. Summerfield/  
Craig A. Summerfield  
Registration No. 37,947  
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610  
(312) 321-4200